

Rubric for Evaluating NCFDD Faculty Success Program Grant Applications

Criteria	5 (Exemplary)	4 (Good)	3 (Satisfactory)	2 (Needs	1 (Inadequate)
				Improvement)	
Statement of	Strong,	Concrete and	Some points	Benefits to faculty	Benefits to
Interest: Provides	compelling	productive points	identified, but	member or to	individual or
(a) detail on how	statement with	presented; lacking	lacking clarity	university unclear	university not
participation will	detailed, realistic	detail			provided
benefit their career	points				
and (b) how					
participation will					
benefit department					
or CU Denver.					
Chair/Dean/Assoc.	Outstanding	Strong	Some positive	Lacks specific details	Letter not
Dean	recommendation	recommendation	details, but	for support	provided
Recommendation	providing detailed	with some	lacks depth		
Letter					

	reasons for	reasons for		
	support	support		
Prioritization of	Applicant is a		Applicant is not	
Junior Faculty (in	junior faculty		a junior faculty	
first 6 years at CU	member.		member.	
Denver)				
Membership in a	Applicant		Applicant does	
historically	discusses		not discuss	
marginalized group	identification		identification	
	with a historically		with a	
	marginalized		historically	
	group.		marginalized	
			group.	

Total Score: _____ / 20

Version: 30 September 2024